# Implementing unit testing in the Linux kernel: A participant observation in the AMD display driver



### Introduction

One of the goals of automated tests is to help ensure software quality and robustness, especially when many developers around the globe are involved and contributing, such as in a project as the **Linux kernel**. This work presents a perspective on one specific type of testing within the Linux kernel: **unit testing**. We explored **KUnit** and focused on the **AMD display driver** - the Linux kernel's largest driver in lines of code and a subsystem where **unit tests had not been implemented yet**.

## **Unit Testing and KUnit**

Unit testing is a form of software testing where **small units of code are tested**.

### Test Coverage

By combining **KUnit and Gcov**, we generate test coverage reports. Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6 show part of the test coverage we achieved.

#### LCOV - code coverage report

Lines: Functions:	37 1	691 59	5.4 ° 1.7 °
Line Coverage 🖨	Func	tions 🖨	
<b>10.3 %</b> 37 / 3	58 <b>3.8</b> %	1/26	
<b>0.0 %</b> 0 /	18 <b>0.0 %</b>	0/3	
<b>0.0 %</b> 0 / 2	95 <b>0.0 %</b>	0/27	
<b>0.0 %</b> 0 /	14 0.0 %	0/2	
<b>0.0 %</b> 0	/6 0.0 %	0/1	
	Functions:         Line Coverage ◆         10.3 % 37 / 3         0.0 % 0 / 2         0.0 % 0 / 2         0.0 % 0 / 2         0.0 % 0 / 2	Lines:       37         Functions:       1         Line Coverage ◆       Func         10.3 %       37 / 358       3.8 %         0.0 %       0 / 18       0.0 %         0.0 %       0 / 295       0.0 %         0.0 %       0 / 14       0.0 %	Lines:       37       691         Functions:       1       59         Line Coverage ◆       Functions ◆         10.3 %       37 / 358       3.8 %       1 / 26         0.0 %       0 / 18       0.0 %       0 / 3         0.0 %       0 / 295       0.0 %       0 / 27         0.0 %       0 / 14       0.0 %       0 / 2

Figure 3. Report generated with Gcov showing the test coverage from DMUB

Directory	Line Coverage 🗢			Functions 🖨	
<pre>drivers/gpu/drm/amd/display/dc/dml</pre>		5.3 %	83 / 1567	<b>4.0</b> %	6 / 149
<pre>drivers/gpu/drm/amd/display/dc/dml/calcs</pre>		1.7 %	74 / 4278	<b>15.9</b> %	7 / 44
<pre>drivers/gpu/drm/amd/display/dc/dml/dcn20</pre>		<b>2.9</b> %	219 / 7554	9.3 %	7 / 75

KUnit is a **unit testing framework** in the Linux kernel with a **unified structure** that allows different subsystems to use it. Only a Linux kernel repository with version 5.5 and up and its dependencies are needed to run it.

## **AMD Display Driver**

This driver can be divided into two pieces: Display Core (DC) and Display Manager (DM). We wrote unit tests for the DC component, in particular, the **Display Mode Library (DML)**, which deals with floating-point arithmetic.

## **Using KUnit to Write Tests**

We relied on equivalence partitioning and boundaryvalue analysis techniques for devising test cases and also analyzed past regressions in the code to cover them. Figure 1 shows the tests for abs\_i64() based on these methodologies and using KUnit API.

```
/**
* abs_i64_test - KUnit test for abs_i64
* @test: represents a running instance of a test.
*/
static void abs_i64_test(struct kunit *test)
{
   KUNIT_EXPECT_EQ(test, OULL, abs_i64(OLL));
```

Figure 4. Report generated with Gcov showing the test coverage from DML

Filename	Line Coverage 🖨			Functions 🖨		
<pre>conversion.c</pre>		0.0 %	0/34	0.0 %	0/3	
<pre>conversion.h</pre>		0.0 %	0/1	-	0/0	
dc_common.c		0.0 %	0 / 26	0.0 %	0/5	
<u>fixpt31_32.c</u>		48.7 %	74 / 152	27.8 %	5/18	
<u>vector.c</u>		0.0 %	0 / 109	0.0 %	0 / 16	

Figure 5. Report generated with Gcov showing the test coverage from fixed\_3132

Filename	Line Coverage 🖨			Functions 🖨	
<u>bw fixed.c</u>		<b>94.0</b> %	63/67	<b>80.0</b> %	4/5
<pre>custom float.c</pre>		0.0 %	0 / 69	0.0 %	0/3
dce_calcs.c		0.0 %	0 / 2155	0.0 %	0/6
dcn calc auto.c		0.0 %	0 / 1126	0.0 %	0/4
dcn calc math.c		<b>21.6</b> %	11 / 51	<b>23.1</b> %	3/13
dcn_calcs.c		0.0 %	0 / 810	0.0 %	0/13

Figure 6. Report generated with Gcov showing the test coverage from bw\_fixed

### Lessons Learned

In low-level systems, unit testing presents challenges as we deal with code closer to the metal and potentially hardware-dependent.

**Testing static functions**, although not encouraged by some software engineering practitioners, does have its advantages, especially when testing the public functions that use them is infeasible.

```
KUNIT_EXPECT_EQ(test, 1ULL, abs_i64(-1LL));
```

```
/* Argument type limits */
KUNIT_EXPECT_EQ(test, (uint64_t)MAX_I64, abs_i64(MAX_I64));
KUNIT_EXPECT_EQ(test, (uint64_t)MAX_I64 + 1, abs_i64(MIN_I64));
```

Figure 1. Test cases written for abs\_i64() using KUnit

```
static uint64_t abs_i64(int64_t arg)
```

```
if (arg >= 0)
  return (uint64_t)(arg);
else
  return (uint64_t)(-arg);
```

Running unit tests without the specific hardware is easier than we first thought it would be because the code we tested was mostly self-contained.

**Device mocking** was not necessary, a concern we had as we wanted the tests to be run without the specific hardware.

Figure 2. abs\_i64() definition

Magali Lemes do Sacramento <magalilemes@usp.br> Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo Advisors: Paulo Meirelles (IME-USP) and Rodrigo Siqueira (AMD)